19 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

through 30, inclusive,

Defendants.

1		
2		
3		
		E-FILED on 06/11/09
4		E-F1LED 011 00/11/09
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11		
12	DAISY D. QUESADA,	No. C-08-05790 RMW
13	Plaintiff,	
14	v.	
15	COMMUNITY LENDING, INC. DBA JMAC	ORDER REMANDING CASE TO SANTA
16	LENDING, EMC MORTGAGE CORPORATION, QUALITY LOAN	CLARA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
17	SERVICE, MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEM, INC., and all	
18	persons unknown, claiming, any legal or equitable right, title, estate, lien, or interest in	[Re Docket No. 12]
19	the property described in this complaint adverse to Plaintiff's title thereto, and DOES 1	

After defendants EMC Mortgage Corporation and Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. removed this case from Santa Clara County Superior Court, plaintiff filed a first amended complaint which eliminated all claims for relief arising under federal law.

Ordinarily, if all claims over which a district court has original jurisdiction have been eliminated early in a removed action, the remaining state-law claims should be remanded to state court. See Acri v. Varian Assocs., 114 F.3d 999, 1001 (9th Cir.1997)(en banc); see also id. (O'Scannlain, J., dissenting). The court concludes here that it should not exercise supplemental

ORDER REMANDING CASE TO SANTA CLARA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT -No. C-08-05790 RMW **JAS**

Case 5:08-cv-05790-RMW Document 31 Filed 06/11/09 Page 2 of 3

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

jurisdiction over the state-law claims. The court hereby remands this action to the Superior Court of California, Santa Clara County.

The hearing on the motion to dismiss and the case management conference, presently scheduled for June 12, 2009, are hereby vacated. The motion to dismiss is denied as moot.

DATED: 06/11/09 Kmala m whyte

United States District Judge

Case 5:08-cv-05790-RMW Document 31 Filed 06/11/09 Page 3 of 3